#### CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

# Project Staff Report 2014 First Round June 11, 2014

Project Number CA-14-014

**Project Name** Morro Del Mar Senior Apartments

Site Address: 555 Main Street

Morro Bay, CA 93442 County: San Luis Obispo

Census Tract: 106.020

Tax Credit AmountsFederal/AnnualState/TotalRequested:\$230,703\$898,544Recommended:\$230,703\$898,544

**Applicant Information** 

Applicant: Pacific Southwest Community Development Corporation (PSCDC)

Contact: Robert W. Laing

Address: 16935 West Bernardo Drive, Suite 238

San Diego, CA 92127

Phone: 858-675-0506 Fax: 858-675-0702

Email: robertlaing@pswcdc.org

General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s): PSCDC Morro Del Mar, LP

General Partner Type:

Parent Company(ies):

Developer:

Nonprofit
PSCDC

PSCDC

Investor/Consultant: CREA - City Real Estate Advisors

Management Agent(s): CIC Management, Inc

**Project Information** 

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 3 Total # of Units: 21

No. & % of Tax Credit Units: 20 100% Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60% Federal Subsidy: HOME

Affordability Breakdown by Units and % (Lowest Income Points):

30% AMI: 3 15 % 50% AMI (Rural): 10 50 % 55% AMI (Rural): 4 20 %

### Information

Set-Aside: Rural Housing Type: Seniors Geographic Area: N/A

TCAC Project Analyst: Daniel Tran

### **Unit Mix**

16 1-Bedroom Units
5 2-Bedroom Units
21 Total Units

Uni	t Type & Number	2014 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	2014 Rents Actual % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
2	1 Bedroom	30%	30%	\$423
8	1 Bedroom	50%	50%	\$705
3	1 Bedroom	55%	55%	\$776
3	1 Bedroom	60%	60%	\$846
1	2 Bedrooms	30%	30%	\$507
2	2 Bedrooms	50%	50%	\$846
1	2 Bedrooms	55%	55%	\$930
1	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	Manager's Unit	\$0

# **Project Financing**

Estimated Total Project Cost: \$5,492,345
Estimated Residential Project Cost: \$5,492,345

# **Construction Financing**

Source	Amount
Rabobank	\$3,527,642
City of Morro Bay	\$600,000
San Luis Obispo County - HOME	\$315,000
AHP	\$200,000
Deferred Developer Fee	\$514,065
Tax Credit Equity	\$335,639

### Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot: \$192 Per Unit Cost: \$261,540

# **Permanent Financing**

Source	Amount	
Bonneville USDA Section 538 Loan	\$968,000	
City of Morro Bay	\$600,000	
Housing Trust Fund	\$210,000	
San Luis Obispo County - HOME	\$315,000	
AHP	\$200,000	
Deferred Developer Fee	\$287,619	
Tax Credit Equity	\$2,911,726	
TOTAL	\$5,492,345	

# **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$2,996,142
130% High Cost Adjustment:	No
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$2,996,142
Applicable Rate:	7.70%
Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$230,703
Total State Credit:	\$898,544
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible	le Basis): \$575,239
Investor/Consultant: CREA -	City Real Estate Advisors
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.97000
State Tax Credit Factor:	\$0.75000

Per Regulation Section 10322(i)(4)(A), The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, will be used during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits.

Per Regulation Section 10327(c)(2)(C), Once established at the initial funded application, the developer fee cannot be increased, but may be decreased, in the event of a modification in basis.

### **Eligible Basis and Basis Limit**

Requested Unadjusted Eligible Basis: \$2,996,142 Actual Eligible Basis: \$4,410,164 Unadjusted Threshold Basis Limit: \$4,339,520 Total Adjusted Threshold Basis Limit: \$4,773,472

#### **Adjustments to Basis Limit:**

95% of Upper Floor Units are Elevator-Serviced

### **Tie-Breaker Information**

First: Seniors
Second: 39.274%

#### **Cost Analysis and Line Item Review**

Staff analysis of project costs to determine reasonableness found all fees to be within TCAC's underwriting guidelines and TCAC limitations. Annual operating expenses exceed the minimum operating expenses established in the Regulations, and the project pro forma shows a positive cash flow from year one. Staff has calculated federal tax credits based on 7.70% of the qualified basis, or, in the case of acquisition credit or credit combined with federal subsidies, 3.36%. Applicants are cautioned to consider the expected federal rate when negotiating with investors. TCAC's financial evaluation at project completion will determine the final allocation.

## Special Issues/Other Significant Information: None

**Legal Status:** Staff has reviewed the Applicant's responses to the questions contained in the Legal Status portion of the Application. No information was disclosed that raised any question regarding the financial viability or legal integrity of the applicant.

### **Local Reviewing Agency:**

The Local Reviewing Agency, City of Morro Bay, has completed a site review of this project and strongly supports this project.

**Recommendation:** Staff recommends that the Committee make a preliminary reservation of tax credits in the following amount(s) contingent upon standard conditions and any additional conditions imposed by the Committee:

Federal Tax Credits/Annual \$230,703

State Tax Credits/Total \$898.544

#### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation, any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected, and a Final Reservation. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

TCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of TCAC.

The applicant must pay TCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, TCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within TCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by TCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by TCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of ten years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received points for sustainable building methods (energy efficiency) must submit the certification required by Section 10325(c)(6) at project completion. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

**Additional Conditions: None** 

Points System	Max. Possible	Requested	Points
1 onto System	Points	Points	Awarded
Cost Efficiency / Credit Reduction / Public Funds	20	20	20
Cost Efficiency	20	7	7
Public Funds	20	13	13
Owner / Management Characteristics	9	9	9
General Partner Experience	6	6	6
Management Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	10	10
Site Amenities	15	15	15
Within ¼ mile of regular bus stop (or dial-a-ride service for rural set-aside)	4	4	4
Within 1/2 mile of public park or community center open to general public	3	3	3
Within 1/2 mile of public library	3	3	3
Within 1/2 mile of a full-scale grocery/supermarket of at least 25,000 sf	5	5	5
Senior project within 1/2 mile of daily operated senior center/facility	3	3	3
Within 1 mile of medical clinic or hospital	3	3	3
Within 1/2 mile of a pharmacy	2	2	2
Service Amenities	10	10	10
LARGE FAMILY, SENIOR, AT-RISK HOUSING TYPES			
Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, minimum 84 hrs/yr instruction	7	7	7
Health & wellness services and programs, minimum 100 hrs per 100 bdrms	5	5	5
Sustainable Building Methods	10	10	10
NEW CONSTRUCTION/ADAPTIVE REUSE			
Develop project in accordance w/ requirements of: LEED	5	5	5
Develop project to requirements of: LEED Silver	3	3	3
Energy efficiency beyond CA Building Code Title 24 requirements: 20.0%	3	3	3
Lowest Income	52	52	52
Basic Targeting	50	50	50
Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of units @ 30% AMI or less	2	2	2
Readiness to Proceed	20	20	20
Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies	2	2	2
State Credit Substitution	2	2	2
Smoke Free Residence	2	2	2
Total Points	148	148	148

<u>Please Note:</u> If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL RE-APPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.